

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : H04N 7/30</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/37700 (43) International Publication Date: 27 August 1998 (27.08.98)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB98/00360 (22) International Filing Date: 5 February 1998 (05.02.98) (30) Priority Data: 9703441.7 19 February 1997 (19.02.97) GB (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): BRITISH TECHNOLOGY GROUP LIMITED [GB/GB]; 10 Fleet Place, London EC4M 7SB (GB). (72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): MONRO, Donald, Martin [GB/GB]; 6 The Leys, Goose Street, Beckington, Somerset BA3 6SS (GB). (74) Agent: BUTTRICK, Richard; British Technology Group Limited, Patents Dept., 10 Fleet Place, London EC4M 7SB (GB).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
<p>(54) Title: PROGRESSIVE BLOCK-BASED CODING FOR IMAGE COMPRESSION</p> <div><p>Block 1: 4x4 grid with top row A, B and bottom row 1, 1, 0, 0. Block 2: 4x4 grid with top row C, D and bottom row 0, 1, 0, 0. Block 3: 4x4 grid with top row E, F and bottom row 0, 0, 1, 1. Each block is shown as a stack of four layers, with the top layer being the most significant bit plane.</p></div> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>A method of image compression includes significance switching of DCT coefficients in block-based embedded DCT procedures. Bitwise digitized DCT coefficients are passed through successive significance sweeps of the whole image from the most significant down to the least significant coefficient bit planes. With each new sweep, newly significant coefficients may appear within a block, and block-masking is used to transmit the addresses of those newly significant coefficients. An off-mask may also be used. The invention further relates to a hardware or software-based image encoder.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

PROGRESSIVE BLOCK-BASED CODING
FOR IMAGE COMPRESSION

The present invention relates to image compression and particularly,
5 although not exclusively, to a progressive block-based embedded DCT coder,
and to a method of encoding.

The JPEG baseline method for still image coding uses the Discrete
Cosine Transform (DCT) in a fixed 8 x 8 pixel partition. Through a linear
quantization table and zig-zag scanning of DCT coefficients, the redundancy
10 and band width characteristics of the DCT are exploited over a range of
compressions. Recently, however, it has become clear that the JPEG coder is
not particularly efficient at higher compression ratios, and other methods such
as wavelets have produced better results while having the advantage of being
fully embedded. Some researchers have also attempted to combine DCT with
15 zerotree quantization, usually associated with wavelet transforms: see *Xiong,*
Guleryuz and Orchard, 'A DCT-Based Image Coder', IEEE Sig. Proc. Lett., Vol
3, No 11, Nov 1996, p289.

It is an object of the present invention to advance the field of image
compression generally, and in particular to provide an improved method of
20 image compression which is capable of use with well understood transforms
such as the DCT.

According to a first aspect of the present invention this is provided a
method of image compression comprising:

- (a) dividing an image to be compressed into a plurality of image blocks;
- 25 (b) carrying out a two-dimensional block transform on each block to
produce a corresponding plurality of coefficient blocks;

- (c) bitwise digitizing the coefficients within each coefficient block to define a plurality of bit planes for each coefficient block;
- (d) defining a group of one or more consecutive bit planes starting with the most significant bit plane;
- 5 (e) selecting those coefficients which first become significant within the group;
- (f) flagging the said selected coefficients;
- (g) transmitting information representative of the positions of the said selected coefficients; and transmitting the bits within the group of the
- 10 said coefficients; and
- (h) repeating (d) to (g) one or more times, with each new group starting with the most significant bit plane not previously dealt with; and, at each repeated pass, also transmitting the bits within the current group of those coefficients which were previously flagged on an earlier pass.

15 Such a method could also be applied using the one-dimensional DCT to audio recording.

According to a second aspect of the invention there is provided a coder for encoding images, comprising:

- (a) means for dividing an image to be compressed into a plurality of
- 20 image blocks;
- (b) means for carrying out a two-dimensional block transform on each block to produce a corresponding plurality of coefficient blocks;
- (c) means for bitwise digitizing the coefficients within each coefficient block to define a plurality of bit planes for each coefficient block;
- 25 (d) means for defining a group of one or more consecutive bit planes starting with the most significant bit plane;

(e) means for selecting those coefficients which first become significant within the group;

(f) means for flagging the said selected coefficients;

5 (g) means for transmitting information representative of the positions of the said selected coefficients, and for transmitting the bits within the group of the said coefficients; and

(h) means for repeating (d) to (g) one or more times, with each new group starting with the most significant bit plane not previously dealt with; and means for transmitting, at each repeated pass, the bits within
10 the current group of those coefficients which were previously flagged on an earlier pass.

Preferably, the encoder provides for significance switching of DCT coefficients in block-based embedded DCT image compression. The encoder provides output on one or more data streams that may be terminated within a
15 few bits of any point.

The invention also extends to a video coder/decoder including a coder as claimed in Claim 17 and an associated decoder, the decoder being arranged to maintain a running record, as transmission between the coder and the decoder proceeds, of the coefficients which are currently significant.

20 The preferred two-dimensional block transform of the present invention is the Discrete Cosine Transform, although other transforms such as the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) or the Lapped Orthogonal Transform could be used.

It will be appreciated that in the method of the present invention the order of transmission is not significant. It is therefore to be understood, except where
25 logic requires, that the various parts of the method are not necessarily carried out sequentially in the order specified in section (d) of Claim 1. For example,

the bits from the newly selected coefficients could be transmitted either before or after the bits of those coefficients which were previously flagged on an earlier path. Similarly, the bits of those coefficients which were previously flagged on an earlier path could be transmitted either before or after the new coefficients are selected for flagging.

The bit planes are swept consecutively from the most significant bit plane to the least significant bit plane. This may either be repeated separately for each image block, or alternatively all blocks may be dealt with at the first bit plane, then all blocks dealt with at the second bit plane, and so on.

The philosophy of significance switching, as used in the present invention, is that the overheads introduced will be compensated for by the savings in not transmitting bits for small coefficients until they are switched on. Good performance might naturally be expected at high compression ratios, but what is surprising is the excellent performance both for lossless compression and for compression at low ratios. The coder of the present invention is preferably embedded, in other words the bit stream can be stopped within a few bits of any point while still guaranteeing the least possible distortion overall. When used with an appropriate decoder, either coder or decoder can terminate the bit stream as needed, dependent upon the available bandwidth or the available bit budget.

The coder of the present invention has been found to out-perform the base-line JPEG method in peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) at any compression ratio, and is similar to state-of-the-art wavelet coders. The results show that block transform (for example DCT) coding is competitive across the whole range of compression ratios, including lossless, so that a significance-switched block coder would be capable of meeting the requirements of future image

compression standards in an evolutionary manner.

The invention may be carried into practice in a number of ways and one specific embodiment will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the figures in which:

5 Figure 1 illustrates the DCT transformation and digitization of image blocks;

 Figure 2 illustrates the significance sweeps made through the individual bit planes in coefficient space; and

 Figure 3 illustrates the zig-zag ordering of coefficients, and use of the
10 binary mask.

 In the preferred method of the present invention, the image to be encoded is first partitioned into a plurality of square image blocks. The partitioning may either be by way of a regular tiling, for example of 8 x 8 pixel blocks, or alternatively some more complex tiling using blocks of differing sizes. One
15 convenient method of tiling is to vary the block size across the image according to the power in the image (measured by the sum of the squares of pixel intensities). As shown in Figure 1, each image block is then transformed using the 2D spatial DCT (Discrete Cosine Transform) to produce a corresponding DCT coefficient block of the same size as the original image block. Each of
20 the coefficients within the coefficient block is then digitized and bitwise encoded as shown in Figure 1. Each coefficient 10 within the coefficient block 20 is therefore represented as a series of individual bits 30, ranging from the most significant bit (MSB) to the least significant bit (LSB). The DCT transformation and digitization preferably follow the scaling used within the
25 JPEG standard: see *Wallace, G.K., 'The JPEG Still Picture Compression Standard', Comm. ACM, Vol. 34, No. 4, pp.30-44, 1991*. This scaling

represents a compromise which accommodates the full range of possible DCT coefficients with reasonably safe integer roundings.

The encoded integer coefficients are now required to be manipulated in a progressive fashion, and transmitted within a data-stream that can be rapidly terminated at any point. In order to achieve this, the integer coefficients are first rearranged into an ordered array using the zig-zag sequence of the JPEG standard. Figure 3 shows a typical zig-zag sequence for an 8x8 DCT coefficient block. Each coefficient thus has an associated linear zig-zag address which may be used to identify it. The reordering places the coefficients in ascending order of Manhattan distance from the DC term, that is from the term in the top left hand corner of the block which is representative of zero frequency in both the x and the y directions.

Turning now to Figure 2, there are shown three separate coefficient blocks, numbered 1 to 3. Each block is represented by a plurality of bit planes 1 to 4, with plane 1 containing the most significant bits for each of the digitized coefficients, and plane No. 4 the corresponding least significant bits. It will be understood of course, that in a typical implementation there will normally be many more than three coefficient blocks, and many more than four bit planes: in the preferred embodiment, in fact, there may be twelve bit planes, with each bit plane having 32 x 32 bits. Other sizes could also be used, for example 8 x 8 or 16 x 16.

In order to determine the order in which the individual bits will be transmitted, the following algorithm is used:

Sweep DCT bit planes from MSB to LSB
Sweep all image blocks
Switch on significant coefficients
Send data bits for significant coefficients
5 **Next block**
 Next bit plane

This algorithm may perhaps best be described by way of example, with reference to Figure 2; in this example, it will be assumed for the sake of simplicity that all the values are positive integers. First, a sweep is made across bit plane No. 1 of each of the three blocks 1 to 3, to determine which are the significant coefficients. These will be the largest coefficients, and as the sweep is initially being made across the most significant bits, they may be determined by selecting those entries in which there is a one rather than a zero in bit plane 1. In Figure 2, those are the coefficients labelled A, B, C and D; coefficients E and F are not yet significant, since their bits in bit plane 1 are zero.

Once the significant coefficients have been selected, all of the corresponding bits in the lower bit planes 2 to 4 are automatically switched on, as indicated by the filled in squares representing the bits of coefficients A to D. The selected bits within bit plane 1 are then transmitted.

Next, a second sweep is made over the whole image across bit plane 2. Bits from coefficients which have already been switched on in the previous sweep are automatically transmitted, so in this example bits 1001 are transmitted, these representing the second most significant bits for the coefficients A to D. Any coefficients which newly become significant at this level, are switched on, as illustrated by the crossed squares representing the second, third and fourth bits for coefficient E. The bits for all such newly significant coefficients on bit plane 2 are also transmitted.

Another sweep is then carried out on the third bit plane. Once again, all the bits representative of coefficients which have already been switched on are automatically sent: in this case, these are the third bits of coefficients A, B, C, D and E. At this level, coefficient F newly becomes significant, and
5 accordingly the one representative of bit 3 of that coefficient is also sent. At the same time, that coefficient is switched on, as indicated by the half-shaded squares representative of the third and fourth bits of that coefficient.

Finally, a sweep is performed across the fourth bit planes. In this example, all of the illustrated coefficients have previously been switched on at a
10 higher level, and hence all of the resultant bits 111000 are transmitted.

The process continues for as many bit planes as were initially required to digitize and bitwise encode each individual coefficient, although the very last bit plane may need to be dealt with as a special case, to be discussed below. The process is progressive, in the sense that the most important information is
15 sent first, so that the transmission may be stopped part-way through if transmission time is limited and/or limited bandwidth is available.

It has been found in practice to be more efficient to exclude the DC component of each block from the above scheme, and to send that separately. Accordingly, in the preferred embodiment switching applied only to the AC
20 terms of the coefficient block. Rather than sweeping across each of the coefficient blocks for a particular bit plane, it would in an alternative embodiment be possible to sweep through all the bit planes within one block before proceeding to the next block. The corresponding algorithm for this would be:

25

Sweep all image blocks
Sweep DCT bit planes from MSB to LSB
Switch on significant coefficients
Send data bits for significant coefficients
5 **Next bit plane**
 Next block

During each significance sweep, the significant bits within each bit plane may be sent in any convenient order. For example, within each bit plane, the
10 first bits to be send may be those for each of the coefficients which have already been "switched on" (in other words those coefficients which are already significant); the addresses of any newly-significant coefficients are then transmitted, to switch them on, followed by a stop symbol. Finally, the next bit
15 is sent for all of the newly-significant coefficients. Alternatively, the switches may be sent first followed by all the data: this has the advantage of improving the run length coding of the data.

For improved efficiency at higher compressions, the DC coefficient within each block is preferably sent separately, prior to the significance sweeps of the AC terms.

20 It should be understood that the system needs to transmit addressing information representative of the positions of those coefficients which are significant. While this could be done simply by transmitting a list of addresses sent in zig-zag order, the applicants have determined that the sending of a binary mask, also in zig-zag order, can further improve efficiency. For
25 example, referring to Figure 3, let us assume that coefficients 2, 3, 6, 7, 13, 14 and 17 have become newly significant at the bit plane level of the current sweep. Those coefficients then have to be "turned on", so that all the bits corresponding to those coefficients in the lower bit planes will in future

automatically be transmitted. That requires the transmission of the addresses of those coefficients.

The applicants have realised that the addresses may be transmitted not directly but by way of a bit mask, in zig-zag order. Thus, in order to switch on the mentioned coefficients (assuming none have previously been switched on),
5 the mask 001100110000011001 may be sent. A '1' in the mask indicates that that particular coefficient has newly become significant. This may be run length coded as 2020502 STOP.

The coefficients within each DCT block may be negative as well as
10 positive, and if appropriate negative values may be suitably bitwise encoded using a 2's compliment representation. If the coefficient is positive, the "1" bits are significant; if negative the "0" bits are significant. The first data bit sent when a coefficient becomes significant determines the sign.

The final bit plane may need to be dealt with as a special case. Any
15 coefficient not previously switched on will be either 0 or -1. One way of dealing with the final phase is to send a mask only for the -1 coefficients; there is no need to send any data, as all non-significant coefficients are 0 and all those newly switched are -1.

It should be recalled that only new coefficients have to be masked at each
20 pass, since once a coefficient has been switched on it remains switched on until the end of the procedure. The masking method is efficient, with typically fewer bits needed to transmit the switching information than a direct list of coefficient addresses.

Various methods of packaging the mask prior to transmission may be
25 used. The mask may be sent sequentially, followed by a special stop symbol to indicate its end. In an alternative scheme, a length symbol representative of

the zig-zag length may be sent before the mask to obviate the need for the special stop symbol. Finally, the mask could be preceded by the Manhattan depth of its highest order coefficient; for example in Figure 3, the highest order coefficient has zig-zag address 17 and a Manhattan depth of 5. Using
5 Manhattan depth will result in three extra mask bits being set (with the mask being assumed to terminate at the end of the zig-zag line that includes the given Manhattan depth). However, the Manhattan depth requires two fewer bits at all block sizes than would a zig-zag length. Recalling that the DCT packs most of the energy into a small subset of coefficients, leaving a large number of smaller
10 coefficients, it will be understood that the Manhattan depth is more efficient for small values.

In each case, the switching mask will contain mostly "Off" symbols (zeros), which can be efficiently compressed using an arithmetic coder. It is particularly useful to encode the mask data using first order predictive adaptive
15 arithmetic coding, since much of the data comprises long runs of low entropy off symbols. Other methods such as Huffman could also be used to compact the mask data prior to transmission. Run length coding may also be used.

Depending upon the method chosen to package the mask, the output from the coder will take the form of one or more bit streams. In the first arrangement
20 mentioned above, where the mask is terminated by a special stop symbol, the mask and symbol may be sent as one stream with the DCT data being sent as another stream. In the alternatives, where a separate length symbol or Manhattan depth symbol is used, it may be convenient to output three separate streams: one specifying the Manhattan depth, one for the mask data, and one
25 for the DCT data.

Where several separate data streams are used, the synchronisation may be

controlled so that each stream is maintained within a few bytes of synchrony with the others. This allows a decoder to interrupt the transmission at any time.

At the far end of the transmission stream, a decoder maintains a record of the mask for each image block, giving the current status of each of its DCT
5 coefficients. The mask is updated at each significance pass.

In a variant of the method, an "off" mask may be maintained and sent, as well as the "on" mask discussed above. This allows the coder to avoid the sending of bits which are so far down the bit planes as to represent noise rather than real data. In practice, once a coefficient has been significant for several bit
10 planes it may well be sufficiently-well defined for visual purposes, and it could then be turned off.

In a further more general variant of the method, the significance testing need not be carried out for each consecutive bit plane. In many circumstances, it may be more efficient, and may give an acceptable result, to mask several
15 planes at once. This reduces the overhead of masking each bit plane individually.

It will be understood that masking each bit plane separately is mathematically equivalent to making comparisons against a decreasing threshold value which goes as 2^n . Other threshold values could be used
20 instead, providing for either wider or narrower significance steps. In one embodiment, the bit planes are divided up into groups, with each group being masked separately. Depending on the application, each group might consist of the same number or alternatively of a different number of bit planes. Some of the groups might comprise only a single bit plane, while others are made up of
25 several.

It is found in practice that the mask switching algorithm described above

performs slightly better than JPEG at low compression ratios, and substantially better at high compression ratios. Indeed, using a 16x16 block size, performance over the whole range of compression ratios is very similar to that achieved by the wavelet coder of Said and Pearlman: see SAID, A. and
5 PEARLMAN, W.A.,: *'Image Compression Using the Spatial-Orientation Tree'*, *IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, 1993, (694), pp. 279-282*. The present invention therefore provides state of the art performance while having the advantage of being usable with DCT, a transformation which is widely used and understood as a result of its adoption at the core technology
10 of JPEG and all current versions of MPEG.

In a typical embodiment of the present invention, a video codec (Coder/Decoder) comprises a hardware or software based coder, and a hardware or software based decoder. Bits are transmitted progressively from the coder to the decoder, with the coder being instructed to keep sending bits until a certain
15 compression target has been reached, or a certain distortion achieved. Using the two or three individual streams of data previously referred to, the decoder can progressively reconstruct the image. As the mask data are received, the decoder updates a record, held in memory, of which coefficients are currently switched on. As data transmission proceeds, more and more coefficients are
20 switched on. If the process is allowed to continue until all of the data has been transmitted, the decoder can reconstruct a lossless image, with the exception of any small rounding errors that may have occurred during the DCT digitization process. A decoder in a multi-media system which uses a progressive, embedded coder as described above can begin to reveal an image as soon as
25 transmission commences. This is an advantage often claimed for wavelets, but significance-switched block transforms also have this capability.

CLAIMS:

1. A method of image compression comprising:
 - (a) dividing an image to be compressed into a plurality of image blocks;
 - 5 (b) carrying out a two-dimensional block transform on each block to produce a corresponding plurality of coefficient blocks;
 - (c) bitwise digitizing the coefficients within each coefficient block to define a plurality of bit planes for each coefficient block;
 - (d) defining a group of one or more consecutive bit planes starting with
10 the most significant bit plane;
 - (e) selecting those coefficients which first become significant within the group;
 - (f) flagging the said selected coefficients;
 - (g) transmitting information representative of the positions of the said
15 selected coefficients; and transmitting the bits within the group of the said coefficients; and
 - (h) repeating (d) to (g) one or more times, with each new group starting with the most significant bit plane not previously dealt with; and, at each repeated pass, also transmitting the bits within the current group of those
20 coefficients which were previously flagged on an earlier pass.
2. A method as claimed in Claim 1 in which (h) is carried out across the entire image to be compressed.
- 25 3. A method as claimed in Claim 1 in which (h) is separately repeated for each image block.

4. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 1 to 3 in which the block transform is the two-dimensional Discrete Cosine Transform.
5. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 1 to 3 in which the block transform is the Lapped Orthogonal Transform.
6. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 1 to 3 in which the block transform is the Fast Fourier Transform.
- 10 7. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims including, at (g), transmitting mask information representative of a binary mask which defines the positions of the said selected coefficients.
- 15 8. A method as claimed in Claim 7 in which the binary mask defines the positions of the selected coefficients within each coefficient block in JPEG zig-zag order.
9. A method as claimed in Claim 7 or Claim 8 in which the binary mask is
20 associated with a mask length code to define the mask end point.
10. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 7 to 9 in which the binary mask is associated with a stop-code to define the mask end point.
- 25 11. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 7 to 10 in which transmitted mask information is an entropy-coded version of the mask.

12. A method as claimed in Claim 11 in which the transmitted mask information is an arithmetic coded version of the mask.
- 5 13. A method as claimed in Claim 11 in which the transmitted mask information is a Huffman coded version of the mask.
14. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 7 to 13 in which the transmitted mask is run length coded.
- 10 15. A method as claimed in Claim 9 when dependent upon Claim 8 in which the mask length code defines the mask end point zig-zag address.
16. A method as claimed in Claim 9 when dependent upon Claim 8 in which the mask length code defines the Manhattan distance from a DC term to the mask end point.
- 15 17. A coder for encoding images, comprising:
- 20 (a) means for dividing an image to be compressed into a plurality of image blocks;
- (b) means for carrying out a two-dimensional block transform on each block to produce a corresponding plurality of coefficient blocks;
- (c) means for bitwise digitizing the coefficients within each coefficient block to define a plurality of bit planes for each coefficient block;
- 25 (d) means for defining a group of one or more consecutive bit planes starting with the most significant bit plane;

- (e) means for selecting those coefficients which first become significant within the group;
- (f) means for flagging the said selected coefficients;
- (g) means for transmitting information representative of the positions of the said selected coefficients, and for transmitting the bits within the group of the said coefficients; and
- (h) means for repeating (d) to (g) one or more times, with each new group starting with the most significant bit plane not previously dealt with; and means for transmitting, at each repeated pass, the bits within the current group of those coefficients which were previously flagged on an earlier pass.

18. A coder as claimed in Claim 17 in which the means for transmitting information representative of the positions of the said selected coefficients comprise binary mask means.

19. A coder as claimed in Claim 18 including means for transmitting, as synchronised data streams, the coefficient bits and mask information.

20. A video coder/decoder including a coder as claimed in Claim 17 and an associated decoder, the decoder being arranged to maintain a running record, as transmission between the coder and the decoder proceeds, of the coefficients which are currently significant.

21. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 1 to 16 including the transmission of information representative of a binary off-mask for defining the

positions of coefficients whose bits are no longer required to be sent.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00360

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 H04N7/30

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WALLACE G K: "THE JPEG STILL PICTURE COMPRESSION STANDARD" COMMUNICATIONS OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR COMPUTING MACHINERY, vol. 34, no. 4, 1 April 1991, pages 30-44, XP000228786 see page 40, middle column, line 9 - right-hand column, line 42 see figure 11	1-21
A	PENNEBAKER W.B., MITCHELL J.L.: "JPEG still image data compression standard" 1993, VAN NOSTRAND REINHOLD, NEW YORK, NY, USA XP002067596 see section 5.6 (p.79) see section 6.2 (p. 86-92) see section 10.2 (p. 173-182)	1-21
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 June 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

25/06/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

La, V

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00360

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	ELNAHAS S E ET AL: "Progressive coding and transmission of digital diagnostic pictures" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MEDICAL IMAGING, JUNE 1986, USA, vol. MI-5, no. 2, ISSN 0278-0062, pages 73-83, XP002067594 see page 74, right-hand column, line 51 - page 76, left-hand column, line 29 see page 77, right-hand column, line 1 - page 79, left-hand column, line 11 ----	1-21
A	EP 0 551 672 A (PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NV) 21 July 1993 see column 2, line 11 - column 5, line 11 see figures 1-3 ----	1-21
A	SCHWARTZ J W, BARKER R C: "Bit plane encoding : a technique for source encoding" IEEE TRANS. AEROSP. ELECTRON. SYST., vol. 2, no. 4, 1966, pages 385-392, XP002067595 see page 385, right-hand column, line 45 - page 387, left-hand column, line 15 see page 391, right-hand column, line 7 - left-hand column, line 44 ----	1-21
A	EP 0 327 931 A (DAINIPPON SCREEN MFG) 16 August 1989 see section (c-3) col.8-9 see figures 9A,9B,10 ----	1-21
A	YUNMING HUANG ET AL: "PRIORITIZED DCT FOR COMPRESSION AND PROGRESSIVE TRANSMISSION OF IMAGES" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING, vol. 1, no. 4, 1 October 1992, pages 477-487, XP000380776 see page 478, left-hand column, line 27 - line 48 -----	1-21

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00360

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0551672 A	21-07-1993	AU 667496 B	28-03-1996
		AU 3114593 A	15-07-1993
		JP 6014311 A	21-01-1994
		US 5583651 A	10-12-1996
EP 0327931 A	16-08-1989	JP 1201776 A	14-08-1989
		JP 1905288 C	08-02-1995
		JP 6036182 B	11-05-1994
		DE 68918605 D	10-11-1994
		DE 68918605 T	26-01-1995
		US 4992887 A	12-02-1991